

## **BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION**RECEIVED

2

1

2

COMMISSIONERS

SUSAN BITTER SMITH, Chairman

**BOB STUMP** 

**BOB BURNS** 

DOUG LITTLE

TOM FORESE

COMPANY, LTD, a/k/a "CONCORDIA

3

4

5

7

In the matter of:

SERVICES, L.L.C.,

FINANCE,"

CONCORDIA FINANCING

ER FINANCIAL & ADVISORY

LANCE MICHAEL BERSCH, and

WANZEK, husband and wife,

DAVID JOHN WANZEK and LINDA

Respondents.

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

26

2015 MAY -4 P 2:39

AZ CORP COMMIST DOCKET CONTRO Arizona Corporation Commission

OCKETED

MAY 0 4 2015

DOCKETED BY

DOCKET NO. S-20906A-14-0063

SECURITIES DIVISION'S STATUS REPORT REGARDING THE SUPERIOR COURT'S DENIAL OF MOTION TO STAY ADMINSTRATIVE CASE PENDING APPEAL

## **ORIGINAL**

On Monday, May 4, 2015, the Honorable J. Richard Gama held a hearing on the Motion To Stay Administrative Hearing Pending Appeal filed by Lance Michael Bersch, David John Wanzek and Linda Wanzek (collectively, "Messrs. Bersch and Wanzek") in Lance Michael Bersch et al. v. State of Arizona et al., Maricopa County Superior Court Case No. LC2014-000415-001 ("the Special Action"). The parties to the Special Action appeared through their counsel.

Following oral argument, the Court ruled from the bench and denied the Motion To Stay Administrative Hearing Pending Appeal. The Court stated it was not planning to issue a minute entry, but that it found based upon parties' briefs and oral argument:

 Messrs. Bersch and Wanzek did not demonstrate a likelihood of success on the merits of their appeal;

- Messrs. Bersch and Wanzek did not demonstrate they would suffer irreparable harm if the stay did not issue, and that they have an adequate remedy by appeal following an administrative hearing;
- A stay would substantially harm the Arizona Corporation Commission; and
- A stay would not be in the public interest.

To enable Messrs. Bersch and Wanzek to apply to the Arizona Court of Appeals for a stay of administrative hearing pending their appeal, the Court issued a temporary 30-day stay. Upon inquiry from undersigned counsel, the Court stated that the 30-day stay applies only to an evidentiary hearing before the Commission, i.e. the administrative hearing, and not to the telephonic procedural conference set for May 7, 2015 at 10:00 a.m.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 4<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2015.

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

James D. Burgess

Attorney for the Securities Division Arizona Corporation Commission

1	ORIGINAL and 8 copies of the foregoing
2	Response to Motion to Continue Hearing filed this 4 <sup>th</sup> day of May, 2015, with:
3	Docket Control
4	Arizona Corporation Commission
5	1200 W. Washington St. Phoenix, AZ 85007
6	COPY of the foregoing hand-delivered
7	this 4 <sup>th</sup> day of May, 2015, to:
8	The Honorable Mark H. Preny
9	Administrative Law Judge Arizona Corporation Commission
10	1200 W. Washington St. Phoenix, AZ 85007
	Phoenix, AZ 83007
11	COPIES of the foregoing sent via
12	U.S. Mail and email this 4 <sup>th</sup> day of May, 2015, to:
13	Paul J. Roshka, Jr.
14	Craig Waugh POLSINELLI
15	One East Washington Suite 1200
	Phoenix, AZ 85004 Attorneys for ER Financial & Advisory Services, LLC,
16	Lance Michael Bersch, David John Wanzek, and Linda Wanzek
17	Timothy J. Sabo
18	Snell & Wilmer
19	400 E. Van Buren St. #1900 Phoenix, AZ 85004
20	Attorneys for ER Financial & Advisory Services, LLC, Lance Michael Bersch, David John Wanzek, and Linda Wanzek
21	Alan S. Baskin
22	David Wood Baskin Richards, PLC
23	2901 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1150
24	Phoenix, Arizona 85012 Attorneys for Concordia Financing Company, Ltd.
25	Karen Houle
26	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·